

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY



502 Deaderick Street, 4th Floor
Nashville, Tennessee 37243

VIA FAX AND US MAIL

April 1, 2015

William C. Bovender
Hunter, Smith, & Davis, LLP
1212 North Eastman Road
P.O. Box 3740
Kingsport, TN 37664

RE: Docket No. 15-00024 – Petition of Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP
Appalachian Power for Approval of Storm Damage Rider Tariff

Dear Mr. Bovender:

In order to assist the TRA in its investigation in the above captioned docket, it is requested that you furnish the following information.

Please provide the information requested on the attached Staff Data Request No. 1. Please pay particular attention to the directions provided in the first section labeled "Administrative." If you have questions regarding any item number in the attached request, please contact Tiffany Underwood at (615) 770-6893 for clarification before responding.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please provide all responses by April 10, 2015 and reference Docket No 14-00024 on the response.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. M. Foster".

David Foster
Chief
Utilities Division

Enclosure

Cc: Vance L. Broemel, Senior Counsel Consumer Advocate and Protection Division

TRA DATA REQUEST NO. 1

ADMINISTRATIVE

⇒The Tennessee Regulatory Authority (“TRA”) requires an original and thirteen (13) copies of the filing or (an original and four (4) copies accompanied by an electronic filing).

⇒All schedules requested as an electronic file are to be provided in Microsoft Excel (with working formulas) or Microsoft Word readable format.

1. Please provide an electronic copy of Mr. Simmon’s Exhibits in excel format with working formulas.
2. Provide support for the 2013 demand allocation factors used, the 2012 loss factor, the metered kWh, the number of lamps and the 2013 billing demand kW.
3. Please provide all invoices and other documentation to support the storm cost expense of \$2,039,395.
4. Please attest that the Company did not include any items that should be capitalized.
5. Please attest that the Company is not seeking recovery of any legal fees as part of this rider.
6. Did Kingsport allocate a portion of labor expense associated with the storm damage to capital accounts?
7. Does the Company have an insurance plan to cover expense incurred from a storm? If not, please explain why.
8. Provide the source and amount of any offsets that the Company received to reduce storm costs expenses (i.e. insurance, loans, etc.).
9. Provide the Company’s current vegetation management plan in this docket.
10. Discuss any changes the Company has made to its vegetation management plan as a result of the 2009 and 2013 storms.

11. Please provide the Company's budgeted amount for its Vegetation Management (tree trimming) and the actual amount that the Company spent on Vegetation Management for the last for 2008-2014.
12. Discuss whether Kingsport's current Vegetation Management Plan has alleviated any storm damage costs.
13. Provide support in the current docket for the remaining \$90,333 uncollected from Docket No. 12-00051. Please provide this support in electronic format with working formulas.
14. In Docket No. 12-00051 and the Company's PPAR filings, the Company has used a loss factor of 1.06266 for all classes except the IP-Primary rate class. Please explain the Company's rationale for using a different loss factor for this filing.
15. Please explain Kingsport's rationale for using different allocation factors to calculate the SDR surcharge and the PPAR surcharge.